

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/929,865	Applicant(s) HENDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner BJ Forman	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Chris Austin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Nickie Einerson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Austin to discuss support for the claim amendments concerning independent movement of the controllers. Mr. Austin cited page 7, first full paragraph, page 8 last paragraph and page 15 first full paragraph. During a brief discussion of the citations, the examiner stated that at least one defined independent movement of the x-y translation stage and x-y controller and the cited passages seemed to be teaching independences of the z controller.. The examiner stated that the citations would be reviewed for supporting teaching.